

Bootstrapping the stationary state of bosonic
open quantum systems with Gustave Robicheau

Is it just cute?

Problem: $\frac{dg_t}{dt} = \mathcal{L}(g_t)$

$$\mathcal{L}(g) = -i[H, g] + \sum_k \mathcal{D}[c_k](g)$$

$$\mathcal{D}[c](g) = cg^\dagger - \frac{1}{2}\{c^\dagger c, g\}$$

$\mathcal{H}$ is bosonic Hilbert space

$$\mathcal{L}(f) = -i\omega[at a, f] + k_1 \mathcal{O}[a](f) + k_2 \mathcal{D}[a^2 - \alpha^2 I](f)$$

Question : compute f_∞ stationary state

$$\mathcal{L}(f_\infty) = 0$$

$$\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle_\infty := \text{tr}[\mathcal{O} f_\infty]$$

Standard approach : $\mathcal{H}_{N_c} = \text{span}\{a^{+k}|0\rangle, k \leq N_c\}$

$$\hookrightarrow \tilde{f}_\infty = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} e^{t \tilde{\mathcal{L}}} \tilde{f}_{\text{in}} \quad \begin{array}{l} \nearrow \text{RK} \\ \rightarrow \text{Krylov} \\ \searrow \text{Rancho 2} \end{array}$$

$$\bullet \tilde{\mathcal{L}} \tilde{f}_\infty = 0 \quad \rightarrow \text{Arnoldi method}$$

$$\hookrightarrow \text{cost} \propto N_c^2$$

- Some issues :
1. cost explodes when $\langle n \rangle$ large
 2. error bounds are ^{not} rigorous
 3. $\| \xi_a - \tilde{\xi}_\infty \|$ small
 $\hookrightarrow \text{tr} [\theta \xi_\infty]$ well approximated!
 $\downarrow$
 $\text{poly}(a, a^\dagger)$

use semi-definite relaxations

II) Sum of squares

$$\underbrace{P(x_1, \dots, x_n)}_{\text{degree } 2d} = \sum_k \underbrace{Q_k(x_1, \dots, x_n)}_{\text{degree } d \text{ or less}}^2 + \lambda$$

$$\Rightarrow P \geq \lambda \Rightarrow \min P > \lambda$$

- Apply this idea to the Lindblad case

normal ordered monomial

$$\mathcal{L}_D = \left\{ \underbrace{I}_0, \underbrace{a, a^\dagger}_1, \underbrace{a^{\dagger 2}, a^2, a^\dagger a}_{\text{degree 2}}, \underbrace{a^{\dagger 3}, \dots}_{\text{degree 3}}, \dots \right\}$$

$$= \{\theta_i\} \quad \mathcal{L}_D \subset \mathcal{L}_{D+1}$$

Imagine we SOS certificate:

$$\mathcal{O} = \alpha I + \sum_i \beta_i \mathcal{L}^\dagger(\theta_i) + \sum_{ij} \gamma_{ji} \theta_i^\dagger \theta_j$$

$\gamma \geq 0$

this equality proves $\text{tr}[\mathcal{O} \rho_\infty] > \alpha$

Proof: $\text{tr}[\Theta g_\infty] = \underbrace{\text{tr}[\alpha g_\infty]}_{\alpha} + \sum_i \beta_i \underbrace{\text{tr}[\mathcal{L}(\Theta_i) g_\infty]}_{\substack{= \text{tr}[\Theta_i \mathcal{L}(g_\infty)] \\ = 0}}$

$$+ \underbrace{\sum_{i,j} \delta_{ji} \text{tr}[\Theta_i^\dagger \Theta_j g_\infty]}_{\substack{\delta_{ji} \geq 0 \quad M_{ij} \quad M \geq 0}} \\ \text{tr}[\delta M] \geq 0$$

$$\geq \alpha_{\text{lower}}$$

↪ find similar writing for $-\Theta = \alpha_{\text{upper}} I + \dots$

$$\boxed{\alpha_{\text{lower}} \leq \text{tr}[\Theta g_\infty] \leq \alpha_{\text{upper}}}$$

→ • $\mathcal{O} = \sum_k B_k \mathcal{O}_k$ e.g. $\mathcal{O} = a^\dagger a$
 $\Rightarrow B_k = \delta_{k6}$

→ • use commutation relations $[a, a^\dagger] = 1$

$$\mathcal{O}_i^\dagger \mathcal{O}_j = \sum_k A_{ij}^k \mathcal{O}_k$$

$\uparrow$
 normal ordered
 constants, don't depend on $\mathcal{L}$

→ • $\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{O}_i) = \sum_{k=1}^{f(i)} \underbrace{L_i^k}_{\text{encode dynamics}} \mathcal{O}_k$

$\mathcal{O}_i \in \mathcal{L}_D$ $\mathcal{L}_{D+|\mathcal{L}|}$ here assuming the $\mathcal{L}$ contains finit power of $a, a^\dagger$

$$\begin{aligned} \hookrightarrow \Theta &= \alpha I + \sum_{i \in \mathcal{D}} \beta_i \mathcal{L}^T(\sigma_i) + \sum_{i,j} \gamma_{ji} \Theta_i^T \Theta_j \\ \hookrightarrow \sum_k B_k \Theta_k &= \alpha \Theta_1 + \sum_{i,k} \beta_i L_i^k \Theta_k + \sum_{i,j,k} \gamma_{ji} A_{ij}^k \Theta_k \end{aligned}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \forall_k B_k = \alpha \delta_{1k} + \sum_i \beta_i L_i^k + \sum_{i,j} \gamma_{ji} A_{ij}^k$$

finite set of equalities. *variables*

To find best bound

$\hookrightarrow \max_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}$

α

linear

linear equalities

uncon

- $\forall k < k_{\max}, B_k = \alpha \delta_{1k} + \dots$
- $\gamma \geq 0$

PSD constraint

$\Rightarrow$ Semi definite program

Example : $\mathcal{O} = a^\dagger a$

- $\mathcal{L}(\rho) = -i\omega[a^\dagger a, \rho] + \kappa_1 \mathcal{D}[a](\rho) + \kappa_2 \mathcal{D}[a^2 - \alpha^2](\rho)$
- $\mathcal{L}(\rho) = \kappa_2 \mathcal{D}[a^2 - \alpha^2](\rho)$

Open problem :

- no proof of convergence?
- $t_{\text{tr}}[\rho_t \mathcal{O}]$ finite time?
- gap of $\mathcal{L}$???

